

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
	1 1 - <u> </u>		
		Į.	EXAMINER
			Smith Cit.
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			2645
		1	DATE MAILED:
	EXA	MINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECO	RD
All participants (applican	t, applicant's representative, I	PTO personnel):	
CREIGHT	où Smith	(3)	
"		(3)	
(2) LANJ VU		(4)	
O and a filter allow	8.26.04		
oute of interview 2		☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).	
•			
Exhibit shown or demon	stration conducted: U Yes	No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement Xwas read	ched with respect to some or	all of the claims in question.	
	N_{ϵ}	en o	
Identification of prior art	discussed:		
		to if an agreement was reached, or any other com	Applicantialled to fine
Description of the gener	al nature of what was agreed	to it an agreement was reached, or any other com	·// +/
00.77/6 S/A			indicated on PTO-326 of
The Office A	ction otpape	r #4. Claim 14 18 06	jected to as having allowable
5.11 - 1 4	•		
Subject m	AIGC		
(A fuller description, if natached. Also, where r	ecessary, and a copy of the a no copy of the amendments w	mendments, if available, which the examiner agree hich would render the claims allowable is available	ed would render the claims allowable must be , a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. It is not necessa	ary for applicant to provide a s	separate record of the substance of the interview.	
WAIVED AND MUST IN	ICLUDE THE SUBSTANCE O	licate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESF DF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the revers one month from this interview date to provide a sta	e side of this form). If a response to the last Office
2. Since the exame requirements the response requirements.	niner's interview summary abo hat may be present in the last frements of the last Office acti	ove (including any attachments) reflects a complete Office action, and since the claims are now allows on. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separation.	response to each of the objections, rejections and tole, this completed form is considered to fulfill the arate record of the substance of the interview unless
box 1 above is	also checked.	/re	Her Inthe



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	- A SA-132	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
•				
antigen in the material and a second	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE	tian and a second of the profession and a second of the se		<u> </u>
				EXAMINER
ing the Jacquery Conservation	Charles of the Jewis de	Magazinak di Salah S		SMITH CIH.
工作的研究的最后的特殊。	मान्याच्या केर्योक्ष्य क्षिप्रेचित्र है। जिल्ला क्ष्या केर्या केर्या केर्या केर्या केर्या केर्या केर्या केर्या	MATERIAL TO THE COLUMN STATE OF THE STATE OF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	_	, , ,	2645	
radicale est con riguesia:	अस्त नार्ता किया अस्तिक स्वेती	Politicina de la Proposició del menor que la como especial de la como en la como en la como en la como en la c La como entre	- O IS	
discontinues designated and continues that	的。 中的一种创作,例如此间的更大		DATE MAILED:	·
digital and to rolls	enti organi Aponega izali galesia e Karasa arasa sari yong sarasa EX	AMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RE	CORD	
All participants (applican	t, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):	e i kan di kacamatan kalaban sa	
are year assube on a ying and	meser deingenald. Das toler i	187 A Grain, Committee to the Committee of the Committee		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A to the state of	(3)		• • • •
(6 Von the Washington	enie anic stadion ine coplents	•		•
distriction of a visit of the	Adie Delata De Action	14 22 4 11 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Date of Interview	8.26.01	ARTERIO (A. 1900) A. C.	. •	•
			•	
		☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).		•
Exhibit shown or demons	stration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief description:		
	<u>, reger en </u>		**************************************	
Agreement Xwas read	had with recognition compare	all of the claims in question.	the second of the second	7 (1) 1 (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
A dies ion	11i	an or the claims in question	1 00.	and the second of the second o
Claims discussed:		SO BASE AND	and the state of t	
(-Viating of the sector)	sum and video material and and and		•	
Identification of prior art of	discussed:	<i>></i> ₩	intervality.	
	क्षेत्रक १ क्षेत्रक न्यु १ वर्ष	१ १९ कम् १९४८ - १९४४ - १०० असे त्राज्यसम्बद्धाः हुन्यसम्बद्धाः ।		
auplicant and examiner &	diod.essim neno rincom ver		<u> </u>	<u>: </u>
Form in an altrahmenage	edi de belocam deleumelle a	ABOUT TO THE STATE OF THE STATE OF	Goola	anticolled to find
		to if an agreement was reached, or any other c	ommonio.	
OUTTNOSTA	tus of Claim 14	whose states ansno	tindicated o	NPTO-326 of
The Office Ac	/ had made			
IN VIILE A	ction otpape	14. Claim 14 150	bjected to	as having alleanbe
Subject m	1 HOR			I .
	A HARRING TO BE A SECURITION OF THE SECURITION O	The Maria Committee of the Committee of		
n, "hy or e'chorate. A verbal	el ed ton begrowers to be le	tion the second statutes against the account of	•	
men meraphinitar de le nititi sitti	IO PRINCIO APLIBITADI DE LE CELLA	nendments; if:available; which the examiner ag		
ittached. Also, where no	copy of the amendments wh	neridinents; iniavaliable; which the examiner ag nich would render the claims allowable is availal	reed would render the d ble, a summary thereof	claims allowable must be must be attached)
		eparate record of the substance of the interview		most bo attached.
				,
マンコム にらっというしょいのうしょうしん	LUDE THE SUBSTANCE O	cate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESERVED (e.g., items 1-7 on the reve	erse side of this form) I	f a recognice to the less Office
ction has already been fi	led, then applicant is given o	one month from this interview date to provide a	statement of the substa	nce of the interview.
2. Since the examination	ner's interview summary above	ve (including any attachments) reflects a compl	ete response to each of	the objections, rejections and
Bill Silletine inches in a	il may de present in the last (Uffice action, and since the claims are now allow	wahle this completed to	arm is considered to fulfill the
	SO CRECKED.	n. Applicant is not relieved from providing a se	parate record of the sul	ostance of the interview unless
		nd ma	1 Herr	Intl.

APPI ICANT'S CODY

Examiner's Signature

PTOL-413 (REV. 2 -93)

• . • • • •

ANTER STATE OF PROTEST OF TABLE OF THE tions and branemark William

ATTORNAY DOCKETTING.

部項AMEDIANT Cylin 3T/用BManualiof!RatentiExamining:Procedure):Section 713.04 Substance of Interview Must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview. \$-1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office actions as specified in § § 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C.

§ 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise; stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews. 20 DATE MAILED:

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney of agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication. The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application:

- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.) - An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted to a unique to each of the conducted to each of
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An-indication-whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of the copy of th amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -- Names of other-Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to the record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree It is desirable that the examiner orany remind the applicant of this obligation to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form in an attachment to the that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form in an attachment to the form, the examiner should check a box at the Formantorming the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the interview.

tt should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not be considered and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

Accomplete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

या राष्ट्रीयो इतिकार अर्थ

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.

- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim by the examiner. or highly detailed description of the arguments not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application office. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner deligns, an eldewoll and to get lead, and the con-
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one mionth from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the weather we the application vs(37:CFR-4H135(c)) saled to the first of the at uit

bns another; another at to the accuracy entition and accuracy entitlified of beaching and more another at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during (Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place-the indication interview record OK on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Commence of the